

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addeas: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/023,235	12/17/2001	Kerry Bernstein	BUR9-2001-0178-US1	3714	
29154 7590 01/25/2009 FREDERICK W. GIBB, III Gibb Intellectual Property Law Firm, LLC			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			SAXENA, AKASH		
2568-A RIVA ROAD SUITE 304		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ANNAPOLIS, MD 21401			2128		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/26/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: KERRY BERNSTEIN, JOSEF S. WATTS, and RICHARD Q. WILLIAMS

Application No. 10/023,235 Technology Center 2100

Mailed: 26 January 2009

Before LAWRENCE J. BANKS Paralegal Specialist BANKS, Paralegal Specialist.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on 12 December 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Application No. 10/023,235

Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL

A review of the file indicates that on 3 January 2008 Appellant filed an Amendment After Final in response to the Final Rejection mailed 28 June 2007. It is not clear whether this Amendment has been considered because an Advisory Action has not been mailed or because box 7 of an Advisory Action fails to indicate whether or not the proposed amendment will or will not be entered for purposes of appeal. Specifically, the Appellant cancelled claim 39. Clarification from the Examiner is required.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

- 1) appropriately respond to the Amendment After Final;
- 2) vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed 11 June 2008;
- provide a new Examiner's Answer including a correct "Status of Amendment" section in addition to correction to other sections as required;
 and

Application No. 10/023,235

4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

FREDERICK W. GIBB, III Gibb Intellectual Property Law Firm, LLC 2568-A RIVA ROAD SUITE 304 ANNAPOLIS MD 21401